

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

JENNIFER CAROL WEBB, KATELYN
MARIE WEBB

Plaintiffs,

v.

TRAVES CHARLES WRIGHT,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:21-cv-00469-ADA-DTG

ORDER CONCERNING FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE MOTIONS

Before the Court are Defendant's *Daubert* motion and the parties' respective motions *in limine*. The Court held a Final Pretrial Conference concerning these motions on October 20, 2022. During that hearing, the Court heard oral arguments and provided oral rulings on each of the motions. The Court now enters those rulings.

Daubert Motion

Defendant's Motions	Ruling
Motion to Exclude the Testimony of Plaintiffs' Expert Dr. S. Roger Parthasarathy (ECF No. 20)	DENIED

Motions in Limine

Plaintiff's Motions <i>in Limine</i>	Ruling
Motion <i>in Limine</i> No. 1, 2, 7, 8, 11, 12, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 28, 29, 30, and 31.	GRANTED as unopposed
Motion <i>in Limine</i> No. 3	GRANTED
Motion <i>in Limine</i> No. 4	GRANTED. Plaintiff noted no objection to asking how Plaintiff got to her doctors.

Plaintiff's Motions in Limine	Ruling
Motion in Limine No. 5	GRANTED but limited to bringing up amounts in pleadings or discovery.
Motion in Limine No. 6	GRANTED as applicable to both sides.
Motion in Limine No. 9	GRANTED
Motion in Limine No. 10	GRANTED
Motion in Limine No. 13	GRANTED but Defendants are allowed to ask about when plaintiff retained counsel.
Motion in Limine No. 14	GRANTED
Motion in Limine No. 15	GRANTED as unopposed
Motion in Limine No. 16	GRANTED--but Defendant can argue that the jury was not shown any admissible evidence of a specific element even if the evidence was kept out over objection.
Motion in Limine No. 17	GRANTED but the parties are free to argue what they believe the other parties' evidence is trying to accomplish.
Motion in Limine No. 23	DENIED
Motion in Limine No. 24	GRANTED but parties can argue what the lawyer <i>believes</i> the evidence suggests.
Motion in Limine No. 25	DENIED
Motion in Limine No. 26	GRANTED
Motion in Limine No. 27	DENIED
Motion in Limine No. 32	DENIED
Motion in Limine No. 33	GRANTED
Motion in Limine No. 34	WITHDRAWN
Motion in Limine No. 35	DENIED

Defendant's Motion in Limine	Ruling
Motion in Limine No. 1	GRANTED
Motion in Limine No. 2	GRANTED as unopposed
Motion in Limine No. 3	GRANTED
Motions in Limine Nos. 4 - 22	GRANTED as unopposed

SO ORDERED, this 20th day of October, 2022.



Derek T. Gilliland
United States Magistrate Judge

